

# NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

**GRADE 12** 

**ENGLISH HOME LANGUAGE P3** 

**NOVEMBER 2012** 

**MEMORANDUM** 

**MARKS: 100** 

This memorandum consists of 10 pages.

#### INFORMATION FOR THE MARKER

In assessing a candidate's work, the following aspects, among others, drawn from the assessment rubric, must be borne in mind:

- The overall effect of planning, drafting, proofreading and editing of the work on the final text produced.
- Awareness of writing for a specific purpose, audience and context as well as register, style and tone – especially in SECTIONS B and C.
- Grammar, spelling and punctuation.
- Language structures, including an awareness of critical language.
- Choice of words and idiomatic language.
- Sentence construction.
- Paragraphing.
- Interpretation of the topic that will be reflected in the overall content: the introduction, the development of ideas and the conclusion.

#### SUGGESTED APPROACH TO MARKING

#### **SECTION A: ESSAY**

Refer to SECTION A: Rubric for Assessing an Essay found on page 8 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT					
CRITERIA	MARKS				
CONTENT AND PLANNING	30				
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	15				
STRUCTURE	5				
TOTAL	50				

- 1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT AND PLANNING.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
- 3. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for STRUCTURE.

#### **SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT**

Refer to SECTION B: Rubric for Assessing Longer Transactional Texts found on page 9 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT					
CRITERIA	MARKS				
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	18				
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	12				
TOTAL	30				

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

#### SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

Refer to SECTION C: Rubric for Assessing Shorter Texts: Transactional/Referential/Informational found on page 10 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT				
CRITERIA	MARKS			
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	12			
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	8			
TOTAL	20			

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

#### NOTE:

- Various formats of transactional/referential/informational texts have been taught/are in current practice. This has to be considered when assessing the format.
- Give credit for appropriateness of format.
- Look for a logical approach in all writing.

#### NOTE:

- The points given below each topic in this memorandum serve <u>only</u> as a guide to markers.
- Allowance must be made for a candidate's own interpretation of the topic, even if it differs from the given points or a marker's own views or interpretations.

# **SECTION A: ESSAY**

#### **QUESTION 1**

Candidates are required to write ONE essay of 400–450 words (2–2½ pages) on ONE of the given topics. Candidates may write in any genre: narrative, descriptive, reflective, argumentative, expository, discursive, or any combination of these.

- 1.1 A path worth exploring
  - Literal and/or figurative 'path'.
  - Might deal with the concept of change.
  - Some candidates might write a description of an area explored.
- 1.2 'When night falls over Africa, cities light up, creating patches of light visible from space. Compared to other places on the planet, the continent is pretty dark, but that is changing.'

[Source: *Connectivity*,February 2012]

- Candidates might argue for/against the topic.
- The essay ought to focus on 'Africa', 'pretty dark' and 'changing'.
- All other parts of the quotation are optional.

[50]

[50]

- 1.3 To live is the rarest thing in the world. Most people exist that is all.
  - Focus on the relative quality and value of life.

[50]

- 1.4 If you run with the wolves, you will learn how to howl.
  - The idea of belonging to a group.
  - The influence/impact different group interactions may have (positive, negative or both).
  - Accept a literal or metaphorical interpretation.

[50]

- 1.5 **Tumbling from the heavens** 
  - May be interpreted literally or metaphorically or both.
  - 'Falling' does not necessarily imply 'failing'.

[50]

1.6 'The first wintry day
You who sang like a robin
At last fell quiet.'

(Norman Morrissey)

- Content could include a literal or a figurative interpretation.
- This essay requires a holistic interpretation.
- The concepts of singing and quiet can be discussed literally/explicitly or figuratively/implicitly or combinations thereof.

Copyright reserved Please turn over

[50]

1./ <b>NO</b>	IE:	There must be	a clear	link between	the essav	and the	picture chosen
---------------	-----	---------------	---------	--------------	-----------	---------	----------------

# 1.7.1 Baby with calculator

- May focus on intellect of children/the importance of learning/literacy.
- The effect of the new technology on the coming generations.

[50]

### 1.7.2 **Keys on key ring**

• Credit literal or figurative or mixed interpretations.

[50]

TOTAL SECTION A: 50

#### **SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT**

#### **QUESTION 2**

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 180–200 words (20–25 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

#### 2.1 **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- Must express views that support/object to encouraging weight loss in animals.
- Candidates may focus on the slimming product or the competition or both.
- Candidates might react with scorn/incredulity/praise.
- Format: own address, date, details of the addressee, salutation, subject line and signing off.

[30]

#### 2.2 **INTERVIEW**

- Context of interview (e.g. drownings in South Africa).
- A valid interview format.

[30]

#### 2.3 **REVIEW**

- Content can be adversely critical/complimentary or both.
- Format: headline, by line.

[30]

# 2.4 **SPEECH**

- Should contain a convincing motivation.
- Credit responses that focus on one or more frames of the picture.
- The picture does not have to be literally mentioned e.g. the rhinos can be interpreted as referring to conservation initiatives in general.
- Include opening and closing paragraphs.

[30]

TOTAL SECTION B: 30

# SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

# **QUESTION 3**

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 100–120 words (10–12 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

#### 3.1 **DIARY ENTRY**

- Date.
- Emotional response to experience.
- If the candidate writes more than one diary entry, mark only the first one.

#### 3.2 **POSTER**

- Sufficient details to be given to sell the idea.
- Catchy words and phrases to be credited.
- Information needed by prospective performers should be included (e.g. title, dates, times, venue etc).

#### 3.3 **DIRECTIONS**

- Must be concise and accurate (cf map).
- Any reasonable/logical route may be accepted.
- Bulleting/numbering acceptable; may also be written in paragraph form. [20]

TOTAL SECTION C: 20 GRAND TOTAL: 100

[20]

# SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE (50 marks)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100%	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79%	Code 5: Substantial 60–69%	Code 4: Adequate 50–59%	Code 3: Moderate 40–49%	Code 2: Elementary 30–39%	Code 1: Not achieved 0-29%
CONTENT & PLANNING (30 MARKS)	24–30  -Content outstanding, highly originalIdeas thought-provoking, maturePlanning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable essay.	21–23½  -Content meritorious, originalIdeas imaginative, interesting Planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable essay.	-Content sound, reasonably coherentIdeas interesting, convincing Planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and good essay.	-Content appropriate, adequately coherentIdeas interesting, adequately original Planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactory, presentable essay.	-Content mediocre, ordinary. Gaps in coherenceIdeas mostly relevant. Limited originality Planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent essay.	9–11½  -Content not always clear, lacks coherenceFew ideas, often repetitiveInadequate for Home Language level despite planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	O-8½  -Content largely irrelevant. No coherenceIdeas tedious, repetitiveInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.
	<u>12–15</u>	101/2-111/2	<u>9–10</u>	71/2-81/2	<u>6–7</u>	41/2-51/2	<u>0–4</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (15 MARKS)	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation effectively usedUses highly appropriate figurative languageChoice of words exceptional, matureStyle, tone, register highly suited to topicVirtually error-free following proofreading and editing.	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation correct; able to use figurative languageChoice of words varied and creativeStyle, tone, register appropriately suited to topicLargely error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Critical awareness of language evidentLanguage and punctuation mostly correctChoice of words suited to textStyle, tone, register suited to topicMostly error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words adequateStyle, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirementsStill contains a few errors following proofreading, editing.	-Limited critical language awarenessLanguage mediocre, punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basicStyle, tone register lacking in coherenceContains several errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation flawedChoice of words limitedStyle, tone, register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words inappropriateStyle, tone, register flawed in all aspectsError-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing
	<u>4–5</u>	31/2	<u>3</u>	21/2	2	11/2	<u>0–1</u>
STRUCTURE (5 MARKS)	-Coherent development of topic. Vivid, exceptional detailSentences, paragraphs brilliantly constructedLength in accordance with requirements of topic.	-Logical development of details. Coherent. -Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied. -Length correct.	-Several relevant details developed. -Sentences, paragraphs well constructed. -Length correct.	-Some points, necessary details developed. -Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense. -Length almost correct.	-Most necessary points evidentSentences, paragraphs faulty but essay still makes senseLength – too long/short.	-Sometimes off topic but general line of thought can be followedSentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary levelLength – too long/short.	-Off topic. -Sentences, paragraphs muddled inconsistent. Length – far too long/short.

# NSC - Memorandum

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding	Meritorious	Substantial	Adequate	Moderate	Elementary	Not achieved
	80–100%	70–79%	60–69%	50–59%	40–49%	30–39%	0–29%
	<u>14½–18</u>	<u>13–14</u>	<u>11–12½</u>	<u>9–10½</u>	7½-8½	<u>5½-7</u>	<u>0–5</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (18 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing — maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHighly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing — maintains focus, no digressionsCoherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of textWriting – maintains focus, with minor digressionsMostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – digresses but does not impede overall meaningAdequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – digresses, meaning vague in placesModerately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights.	-No knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format.
	<u>10–12</u>	81/2-91/2	<u>7½-8</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5–5½</u>	4-41/2	<u>0-3½</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (12 MARKS)	-Grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructedVocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateVirtually error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Very well constructed and accurateVocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone, register considering demands of taskLargely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Well constructed and easy to readVocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateMostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateA few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.	-Basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerSeveral errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and register do not correspond with topic -Error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

# SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (20 marks)

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding	Meritorious	Substantial	Adequate	Moderate	Elementary	Not achieved
	80–100%	70–79%	60–69%	50–59%	40–49%	30–39%	0–29%
	<u>10–12</u>	81/2-91/2	<u>7½-8</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5-5½</u>	4-41/2	<u>0–3½</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (12 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a profound awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing — learner maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHas produced a highly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a broad awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing — learner maintains focus, no digressionsText is coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a general awareness of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is mostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textExhibits some awareness of wider context in writing tasks Writing – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusExhibits rather limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in placesText moderately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusExhibits a limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of textExhibits no knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not coherent in content and ideas, ha few details which support topicInadequate planning, drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format.
	<u>6½-8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5–5½</u>	4-41/2	3½	<u>2½-3</u>	<u>0–2</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (8 MARKS)	-Text grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructed Vocabulary is highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateText virtually error free following proofreading.	-Text very well constructed and accurateVocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone and register considering demands of taskText largely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text well constructed and easy to readVocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateText mostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateText still contains few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerText contains several errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and regisdo not correspond wit topicText error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.