

basic education

Department:
Basic Education
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH HOME LANGUAGE P3

NOVEMBER 2013

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 100

This memorandum consists of 10 pages.

INFORMATION FOR THE MARKER

In assessing a candidate's work, the following aspects, among others, drawn from the assessment rubric, must be borne in mind:

- The overall effect of planning, drafting, proofreading and editing of the work on the final text produced.
- Awareness of writing for a specific purpose, audience and context as well as register, style and tone – especially in SECTIONS B and C.
- Grammar, spelling and punctuation.
- Language structures, including an awareness of critical language.
- Choice of words and idiomatic language.
- Sentence construction.
- Paragraphing.
- Interpretation of the topic that will be reflected in the overall content: the introduction, the development of ideas and the conclusion.

SUGGESTED APPROACH TO MARKING

SECTION A: ESSAY

Refer to SECTION A: Rubric For Assessing An Essay, found on page 8 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT				
CRITERIA	MARKS			
CONTENT AND PLANNING	30			
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	15			
STRUCTURE	5			
TOTAL	50			

- 1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT AND PLANNING.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
- 3. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for STRUCTURE.

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

Refer to SECTION B: Rubric For Assessing Longer Transactional Texts, found on page 9 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT				
CRITERIA	MARKS			
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	18			
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	12			
TOTAL	30			

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

Refer to SECTION C: Rubric For Assessing Shorter Texts: Transactional/Referential/Informational, found on page 10 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT				
CRITERIA	MARKS			
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	12			
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	8			
TOTAL	20			

- 1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

NOTE:

- Various formats of transactional/referential/informational texts have been taught/are in current practice. This has to be considered when assessing the format.
- Give credit for appropriateness of format.
- Look for a logical approach in all writing.

SECTION A: ESSAY

QUESTION 1

Candidates are required to write ONE essay of 400–450 words (2–2½ pages) on ONE of the given topics. Candidates may write in any genre: narrative, descriptive, reflective, argumentative, expository, discursive, or any combination of these.

- 1.1 Words make a difference
 - The focus must be on the impact of words.

[50]

1.2 'I am a camera.'

(Christopher Isherwood)

Candidates may interpret this topic literally or figuratively.

[50]

- 1.3 'An idea that is not dangerous is unworthy of being called an idea at all.' (Oscar Wilde)
 - Focus on the value of challenging/unconventional/revolutionary ideas.
 - Credit unusual but valid responses that engage with or challenge the premise.
 - May argue for and/or against the topic.

[50]

- 1.4 Off the beaten track
 - Candidates may interpret this topic literally or figuratively.

[50]

1.5 'Darkness cannot drive out darkness; only light can do that. Hate cannot drive out hate; only love can do that.'

(Martin Luther King)

- May argue for and/or against the topic.
- Focus on opposing forces.

[50]

- 1.6 'You can cut all the flowers but you cannot keep spring from coming.'
 (Pablo Neruda)
 - Allow for varying responses to this topic.

[50]

- 1.7 **NOTE:** There must be a clear link between the essay and the picture chosen.
 - 1.7.1 Eye in the sky
 - Credit literal/figurative/mixed interpretations.

[50]

[50]

- 1.7.2 Staircase
 - Credit literal/figurative/philosophical responses.

TOTAL SECTION A: 50

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

QUESTION 2

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 180–200 words (20–25 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

2.1 EDITORIAL

- The content must be appropriate to the first edition of the magazine.
- Style, register and tone must be appropriate to the teenage market.
- A reasonable degree of formality has to be maintained.
- Format: headline (optional).

[30]

2.2 **FORMAL LETTER**

- Accept various interpretations of 'service'.
- Focus must be on the details of dissatisfaction and appropriate compensation.
- Should be a letter of complaint.
- FORMAT: own address, date, and details of addressee, salutation, subject line and signing off.

[30]

2.3 **DIALOGUE**

- Dialogue must be coherent and convincing.
- Dialogue format.

[30]

2.4 **REVIEW**

- Nature of the controversy should be mentioned.
- The content can be adversely critical, complimentary or both.
- Format: headline, by line (optional).

[30]

TOTAL SECTION B: 30

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

QUESTION 3

Candidates are required to respond to ONE of the topics set. The body of the response should be 100–120 words (10–12 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

3.1 **POSTER**

- Sufficient details to be given to attract volunteers.
- Appropriately catchy words and phrases to be credited.
- Where appropriate, relevant information to be included e.g. name of organisation, date, contact details.

[20]

[20]

3.2 **DIARY**

- Mark only the FIRST diary entry, and strike out the others.
- Focus on the impact of retrenchment on the diarist and family.
- Format: date and salutation (optional). [20]

3.3 **POSTCARD**

- Candidates must respond to ONE of the images.
- If candidate responds to more than one image, mark the FIRST one only and strike out the others.
- There must be a clear link between the image and the message.
- There must be explicit or implicit mention of the place visited.
- Personal observations would enhance the overall message.
- Format: date and address (optional).

TOTAL SECTION C: 20 GRAND TOTAL: 100

SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE (50 marks)

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding 80–100%	Meritorious 70–79%	Substantial 60–69%	Adequate 50–59%	Moderate 40–49%	Elementary 30–39%	Not achieved 0-29%
	24–30	<u>21–23½</u>	<u>18-20½</u>	<u>15–17½</u>	<u>12-14½</u>	9-11½	0-81/2
CONTENT & PLANNING (30 MARKS)	-Content outstanding, highly original. -Ideas thought- provoking, mature. -Planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable essay.	-Content meritorious, originalIdeas imaginative, interesting Planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable essay.	-Content sound, reasonably coherent. -Ideas interesting, convincing. - Planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and good essay.	-Content appropriate, adequately coherentIdeas interesting, adequately original Planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactory, presentable essay.	-Content mediocre, ordinary. Gaps in coherenceIdeas mostly relevant. Limited originality Planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent essay.	-Content not always clear, lacks coherenceFew ideas, often repetitiveInadequate for Home Language level despite planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	-Content largely irrelevant. No coherenceIdeas tedious, repetitiveInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.
	<u>12–15</u>	<u>10½-11½</u>	<u>9–10</u>	<u>7½-8½</u>	<u>6–7</u>	4½-5½	<u>0–4</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (15 MARKS)	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation effectively usedUses highly appropriate figurative languageChoice of words exceptional, matureStyle, tone, register highly suited to topicVirtually error-free following proofreading and editing.	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation correct; able to use figurative languageChoice of words varied and creativeStyle, tone, register appropriately suited to topicLargely error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Critical awareness of language evidentLanguage and punctuation mostly correctChoice of words suited to textStyle, tone, register suited to topicMostly error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words adequateStyle, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirementsStill contains a few errors following proofreading, editing.	-Limited critical language awarenessLanguage mediocre, punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basicStyle, tone register lacking in coherenceContains several errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation flawedChoice of words limitedStyle, tone, register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language and punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words inappropriateStyle, tone, register flawed in all aspectsError-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing.
	<u>4–5</u>	3½	<u>3</u>	21/2	<u>2</u>	1½	<u>0–1</u>
STRUCTURE (5 MARKS)	-Coherent development of topic. Vivid, exceptional detailSentences, paragraphs brilliantly constructedLength in accordance with requirements of topic.	-Logical development of details. Coherent. -Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied. -Length correct.	-Several relevant details developed. -Sentences, paragraphs well constructed. -Length correct.	-Some points, necessary details developed. -Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense. -Length almost correct.	-Most necessary points evidentSentences, paragraphs faulty but essay still makes senseLength – too long/short.	-Sometimes off topic but general line of thought can be followedSentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary levelLength – too long/short.	-Off topicSentences, paragraphs muddled, inconsistent. Length – far too long/short.

NSC - Memorandum

SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT - HOME LANGUAGE (30 marks)

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding 80–100%	Meritorious 70–79%	Substantial 60–69%	Adequate 50–59%	Moderate 40–49%	Elementary 30–39%	Not achieved 0-29%
	14½-18	<u>13–14</u>	11-12½	9-10½	7½-8½	<u>5½-7</u>	<u>0-5</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (18 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing — maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHighly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing — maintains focus, no digressionsCoherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of textWriting – maintains focus, with minor digressionsMostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentableand very good textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – digresses but does not impede overall meaningAdequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – digresses, meaning vague in placesModerately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights.	-No knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate planning/ drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format.
	<u>10–12</u>	81/2-91/2	<u>7½-8</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5-5½</u>	4-41/2	0-31/2
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (12 MARKS)	-Grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructedVocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateVirtually error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Very well constructed and accurateVocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone, register considering demands of taskLargely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Well constructed and easy to readVocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateMostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateA few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.	-Basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerSeveral errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed and very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and register do not correspond with topic -Error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (20 marks)

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding	Meritorious	Substantial	Adequate	Moderate	Elementary	Not achieved
	80–100%	70–79%	60–69%	50-59%	40–49%	30–39%	0–29%
	<u>10–12</u>	81/2-91/2	<u>7½-8</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5-5½</u>	4-41/2	0-31/2
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (12 MARKS)	-Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a profound awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing — learner maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHas produced a highly appropriate format.	-Very good knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a broad awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing — learner maintains focus, no digressionsText is coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a general awareness of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is mostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentableand very good textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textExhibits some awareness of wider context in writing tasks Writing – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusExhibits rather limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in placesText moderately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusExhibits a limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of textExhibits no knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not coherent in content andideas, has few details which support topicInadequate planning/ drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format.
	<u>6½-8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5–5½</u>	4-41/2	3½	2½-3	<u>0–2</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (8 MARKS)	-Text grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructed Vocabulary is highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateText virtually error free following proofreadingLength correct.	-Text very well constructed and accurateVocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone and register considering demands of taskText largely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text well constructed and easy to readVocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateText mostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateText still contains few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerText contains several errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and registed on tocorrespond with topicText error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.